

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/756,910	•	01/14/2004	Hubert Limbrunner	071308.0502	071308.0502 3076	
31625	7590	02/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAKER B	OTTS L.I	L.P.		MCMAHON, M	MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J	
PATENT D 98 SAN JAG		ENT LVD., SUITE 1500		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN, T	X 78701	X 78701-4039 3747				

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/756,910	LIMBRUNNER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marguerite J. McMahon	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	ş
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-13 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/28/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/756,910

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson (3,298,677). Note a suction pipe 12 for an air intake system having a shoulder near 10, a flow surface comprising a surface of a flap 16 arranged rotatably in the suction pipe, the slow surface having surface irregularities in the form of elevations and/ or depressions 32, 36, 38, and 42, which have different shapes (36 is different from the others) in order to reduce flow breakaway and vortex formation, the irregularities in the form of shark scales.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 6, 8, 9, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (3,298,677). Anderson shows everything except the depressions being formed in the manner of a golfball profile, the flap being made of plastic molding, the flow surface comprising the wall of the suction pipe, and the manner

Application/Control Number: 10/756,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 3747

of producing the irregularities. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a flap made by plastic molding, since this is conventional. In addition, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize depressions formed in the manner of a golfball profile in lieu of the shark scales profile, and to employ the flow surface on the wall of the suction pipe in lieu of on the flap, since these are art recognized alternatives, as evidenced by Applicant's claiming all these alternatives. Finally, the process of producing a product is not given weight, as per MPEP: "When the reference teaches a product that appears to be the same as, or an obvious variant of, the product set forth in a product-by-process claim although produced by a different process. See In re Marosi, 710 F.2d 799, 218 USPQ 289 (Fed. Cir. 1983) and In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See also MPEP § 2113."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marguerite J. McMahon whose telephone number is 703-308-1956. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuen Henry can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,910

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M/~
MARGUERITE MCMAHON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4